REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DA	TES COVERED
November 2003 Final Technical, 20			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	November 2003		5. FUNDING NUMBERS
Letter Report on Technology l	investment for the Defense Adv	vanced Research Projects	
Agency (DARPA)		y	
rigonoy (2111111)			
6. AUTHOR(S)			1
Mr. Philip A. Odeen, Task For	rce Chairman		
			·
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Defense Science Board			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology & Logistics)			
•	of Defense (Acquisition, Techn	ology & Logistics)	
3140 Defense Pentagon			
Room 3D865			
Washington, DC 20301-3140 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY I	IAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING
Defense Science Board			AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology & Logistics)			
3140 Defense Pentagon			
Room 3D865			
Washington, DC 20301-3140 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
12a. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATE	MENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
A: Unlimited Distribution			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)			
		000/	DAAD DDE
		/////	0112 005
		LVVT	OIIE VV
1			
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
		,	3 .
			16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	N 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
OF REPORT	OF THIS PAGE	OF ABSTRACT	

UNCLAS

UNCLA

N/A

UNCLAS



Defense Science Board

Task Force on Technology Investment for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA)

Letter Report

November 2003

DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

3140 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3140

NOV 1 7 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR ACTING UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (ACQUISITION, TECHNOLOGY & LOGISTICS)

SUBJECT: Final Report of the Defense Science Board (DSB) Task Force on Technology Investment for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA)

I am pleased to forward the final summary review of the DSB Task Force on Technology Investment for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA). The task force was asked to perform a one time evaluation of DARPA's current technology portfolio to confirm that their advanced research projects are based on sound, proven scientific and technological foundations, practices and methods, and are of high value to DoD's operational missions.

The following summary is forwarded for your review.

William Schneider, Jr.

Weliam Dhaid I

DSB Chairman



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 3140 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3140

NOV 1 4 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR CHAIRMAN, DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD

SUBJECT: Final Report of the Defense Science Board Task Force on the Technology Investment for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA)

As requested by the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) and the Director DARPA, the task force performed a one-time independent evaluation of the technology investment for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA). Task force members reviewed all of the projects in DARPA's current technology portfolio (both unclassified and classified) and assessed each research effort based upon the following criteria:

- It appears to have scientific merit, and
- It would be of high value to DoD's operational missions.

After a summary review of the current DARPA technology portfolio, the task force found no programs that appeared to have obvious ethical issues, that had insurmountable technical impediments, or that could not be of high value to DoD. Though no significant items were uncovered, task force members did identify a number of minor issues with specific programs that should be addressed by DARPA. The nature of these issues include technical objectives that seemed overly ambitious, program titles that did not accurately reflect the research performed, or program documentation that needed to be better cast for a general audience. These specific items were addressed with DARPA staff and appropriate actions are being taken.

Additionally, areas of particular sensitivity that require continued attention from DARPA management include:

 Human and animal testing protocols: DARPA uses protocols established by DoD for human and animal testing. DARPA is encouraged to establish a process that will ensure that these safeguards are indeed being followed by DARPA Agents. • <u>Sensitivity to environmental issues</u>: DARPA must continue to be very sensitive to the potential impact of their research efforts on the environment.

We thank the task force members for their efforts and contributions. We also thank Dr. Tony Tether for the time he spent with us and our Executive Secretary, Mr. Ron Kurjanowicz, for his help and insight.

Mr. Phil Odeen